

South Stanley Junior School

Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

| 1. Summary information | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|--|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Academic Year | 2018-2019 | Total PP Budget | £154,440 | Date of most recent PP review | July 2018 | | |
| Total number of pupils | 175 | Total number of pupils eligible for PP | 117 | Date for next internal review | Dec 18 | | |

| | DD numila Cabaal (22) | Not PP pupils - School | All other pupils | PP children |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | PP pupils - School (33) | (11) | (national average) | (national average) |
| Reading EXS+ | 42% | 58% | 75% | |
| Writing EXS+ | 58% | 83% | 78% | |
| Maths EXS+ | 42% | 58% | 76% | |
| Grammar, punctuation & Spelling EXS+ | 45% | 75% | 82% | 82% |
| RWM EXS+ | 36% | 50% | 64% | |
| Reading Average Scaled Score | 96.7 | 102.8 | 106.1 | 106.1 |
| GaPS Average Scaled Score | 97.9 | 104.3 | 107.2 | 107.2 |
| Maths Average Scaled Score | 98.1 | 103.4 | 105.4 | 105.4 |
| Progress Reading | -5.8 | -1.6 | | |
| Progress Writing | -1.78 | 2.66 | | |
| Progress Maths | -3.5 | -0.3 | | |

*figures not available

| 3. Ba | 3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP including more able) | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A | High level of deprivation results in literacy poor home environments. Some pupil premium pupils do not read often enough outside of school. Fewer pp pupils attain EXS at end key stage. | | | | | |
| В | High % of PP children experience social and emotional barriers to learning. Low self esteem and self confidence result in lack of resilience to | | | | | |
| | persevere through challenging learning experiences and poor attitudes to learning. | | | | | |
| С | Pupil premium pupils have lower attendance and a higher percentage of persistent absentees are pupil premium pupils. | | | | | |
| D | Fewer pupil premium pupils attain EXS in RWM at end key stage | | | | | |
| E | Fewer pupil premium pupils attain EXS in Maths at the end of key stage 2 | | | | | |

| 4. C | Outcomes | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|
| Desired | outcomes and how they will be measured | Success Criteria | | |
| А | Narrow gap in attainment in reading in all year groups. | Y3: Gap reduces by 5% or more from baseline | | |
| | | Y4: Gap 5% or below | | |
| | | Y5: Gap 10% or below | | |
| | | Y6: Gap 30% or below | | |
| В | Improved attitudes to learning and resilience to | Y3RWM: 43% pupil premium pupils attain EXS | | |
| | persevere in learning leading to improved attainment. | Y4RWM: 67% pupil premium pupils attain EXS | | |
| | | Y5RWM: 69% pupil premium pupils attain EXS | | |
| С | Improve attendance of pupil premium pupils | Attendance of pupil premium pupils is at least 95% | | |
| | | Percentage of pupil premium persistent absentees falls below 5% (9% end of | | |
| | | 2017_2018) | | |
| D | Improve attainment of pupil premium pupils in RWM by | Y6: Gap 30% or below | | |
| | end key stage. | | | |
| E | Narrow gap in maths in all year groups | Y4: Gap 5% or below | | |
| | | Y5: Gap 5% or below | | |
| | | Y6: Gap 30% or below | | |

| A) Narrow gap in attainment in reading in all year groups.Development of one to one tuition for struggling readers to develop fluency and comprehension. Staff: £5.600 (1 hour per day x 3 x TA rate) Resources: £5,000EEF Reading comprehension strategies - +6 monthsY3: Gap reduces by 5% or more from baseline. Y4: Gap 5% or below Y5: Gap 10% or below Y6: Gap 30% or belowB. Slater Termly tracking NealeJan 2018 Mar 2018 July 2018A) Narrow gap in attainment in reading in all year groups.Development of one to one tuition for struggling readers to develop fluency and comprehension. Staff: £5,600 (1 hour per day x 3 x TA rate) Resources: £5,000EEF Reading comprehension strategies - +6 monthsY3: Gap reduces by 5% or more from baseline. Y4: Gap 5% or below Y5: Gap 10% or below Y6: Gap 30% or belowB. Slater Termly tracking NealeJan 2018 Mar 2018 July 2018A) Narrow gap in attaing - moderate improvementY3: Gap reduces by 5% or more from baseline. Y4: Gap 5% or below Y6: Gap 30% or belowB. Slater Termly tracking NealeJan 2018 Mar 2018 July 2018 | Desired outcome | Chosen action/ approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | Success Criteria | Staff Lead & Monitoring | Review date |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|-------------|
| | attainment in reading | tuition for struggling readers to develop fluency and comprehension. Staff: £5.600 (1 hour per day x 3 x TA rate) Resources: £5,000 Small group comprehension sessions Staff: £15,000 (1 hour per | strategies - +6 months EEF developing fluency in reading - moderate | more from baseline. Y4: Gap 5% or below Y5: Gap 10% or below | Termly tracking | Mar 2018 |

| Desired outcome | Chosen action/ | What is the evidence and | Success Criteria | Staff Lead & | Review date |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | approach | rationale for this choice? | | Monitoring | |
| B) Improved attitudes to learning and | Rights respecting schools £1000 | EEF reports increases of +4 for interventions which focus on | Y3RWM: 43% pupil premium pupils attain EXS Y4RWM: 67% pupil premium | A. Howe | Jan 2018 Mar 2018 Tuly 2018 |
| resilience to persevere in learning | Emotional well being CPD £1000 | social and emotional aspects of learning. | pupils attain EXS Y5RWM: 69% pupil premium | | July 2018 |
| leading to improved | Small group interventions - SEMH | | pupils attain EXS | | |
| attainment. | £5.600 (3 x 5 hour per week TA rate) | | | | |
| | Resources - £300 | | | | 07.000 |
| | | | | Total budgeted cost: | £7,900 |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/ approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | Success Criteria | Staff Lead & Monitoring | Review date |
| C) Improve attendance of pupil premium pupils | Attendance officer £17,500 Attendance awards £500 | Poor attendance impacts on attainment and progress. | Attendance of pupil premium pupils is above 96% | R. Bell Weekly monitoring | Jan 2018 Mar 2018 July 2018 |
| | £300 | | | Total budgeted cost: | £18,000 |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/ | What is the evidence and | Success Criteria | Staff Lead & | Review date |
| | approach | rationale for this choice? | | Monitoring | |
| D) Improve attainment of pupil premium | Reduced class size £56,000 (2 × MPS teacher) | EEF moderate impact - reduced class sizes and small group tuition | Y6: Gap 30% or below | H Thomas & B Slater Half termly monitoring | Jan 2018 Mar 2018 |
| pupils in RWM by end key stage. | Small group tuition £33,500 (6x 3 hours daily TA rate) | | | | July 2018 |
| | | | | Total budgeted cost: | £89,500 |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/ | What is the evidence and | Success Criteria | Staff Lead & | Review date |
| | approach | rationale for this choice? | | Monitoring | |
| E) Narrow gap in maths | Staff training | EEF mastery maths +5 months | Y4: Gap 5% or below | H. Thomas & B Slater | Jan 2018 |
| in all year groups | £3000 Mastery Maths Programme £1000 | | Y5: Gap 5% or below Y6: Gap 30% or below | Half termly monitoring | Mar 2018 July 2018 |
| | £1000 Coaching & modelling £1000 | | | | |
| | Feedback & intervention 0.5 hours per class per day | | | | |
| | £8,000 | | | | |
| | | | | Total budgeted cost: | £13,000 |

| Previous Academic Year | | 2017 - 2018 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------|--|
| (i) Targeted support | | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/ approach | Impact: | Lessons learned (will this approach be continued) | Cost | |
| A) Narrow gap in attainment in reading in all year groups | Focused TA intervention | Y3 - Gap 5% Y4 - Gap 15% Y5 - Gap 18% Gaps remain similar to previous year. However: Y3: 80% pupils made 6 months + progress in fluency Y3: 60% pupils made 6 months+ progress in comprehension Y4: 80% pupils made 6 months+ progress in fluency Y4: 80% pupils made 6 months+ progress in fluency Y5: 66% pupils made 6 months+ progress in fluency Y5: 66% pupils made 6 months+ progress in fluency Y5: 17% pupils made 6 months+ progress in comprehension. Staff absence had negatively impacted on the intervention in Y5 Y6: 75% pupils made 6 months+ progress in fluency Y6: 75% pupils made 6 months+ progress in fluency | Neale tracking shows marked improvement on fluency and comprehension of reading. One to one intervention is successful and should continue but needs to be one strategy of a co-ordinated approach to teaching of reading. This needs to be further consolidated across school. | £42,000 | |
| | Purchase of high interest reading books to encourage a love of reading. | Pupil questionnaires show pupils are more interested in the books available. However, many pupils still do not engage regularly with reading at home. | Further work needs to be done to engage pupils with reading across school. | £6,000 | |
| B) Improved resilience to persevere in learning leading to improved attainment. | Prince William Award (5,600) | Gap in attainment remained static. Anecdotal evidence of improved teamwork, co-operation and resilience but this has not directly translated into improved attainment in this year. Improvement may be cumulative and may be seen at the end of 18_19, however. | PWA was a well organised programme for development of pupil resilience, teamwork and co-operation. However, it was extremely time intensive, expensive and disruptive to the curriculum within the chosen year group. School needs to develop provision for SEMH as part of a co- ordinated whole school package. | £5,600 | |

| | Relax Kids | Very difficult to assess impact either anecdotally or through data. | School needs to develop provision for SEMH as part of a co-ordinated whole school package. | £1,000 |
|---|--|---|--|----------|
| | Resilience Project | No obvious impact. Staff turbulence both within school and within the delivery organisation, affected delivery of the programme. | School needs to develop provision for SEMH as part of a co-ordinated whole school package. | £2,000 |
| C) Reduce the percentage of persistent absentees. | Targeted support to improve attendance of persistent absentees including regular parent meetings, | Percentage of pupil premium persistent absentees did not reduce. (9% at end of year. Previously 6%) Impact of attendance officer is ineffective due to the short amount of time in school. (1 x day per fortnight) | Need an attendance officer who can monitor attendance daily and follow up absences immediately. | £6000 |
| D) Improve attainment in RWM by the end of the key stage. | Extra TA staff to facilitate targeted intervention. (42,000) Further staff training in Singapore Maths to sustain developments from 2016-17 (3,000) Extra teacher to provide extra targeted support Y5/6 (40,000) Extra teacher to reduce class sizes and avoid the need for mixed year groups. (40,000) | Attainment is still inconsistent across school and has not improved at end of key stage. Staff turbulence has affected impact of interventions. Attainment is still inconsistent across school and has not improved at end of key stage. Staff turbulence has affected impact of interventions. Attainment is still inconsistent across school and has not improved at end of key stage. Staff turbulence has affected impact of interventions. | Staff turbulence has impacted on standards. Interventions cannot make up for poor quality first teaching. Ensuring staff stability and minimising impact of unavoidable staff absence needs to be a priority. | £125,000 |